

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                     | FILING DATE        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO.  |  |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|
| 10/087,514                          | 02/28/2002 .       | Hideo Nishijima      | 16869N-044700US     | 4080              |  |
| 20350                               | 7590 11/07/2006    |                      | EXAMINER            |                   |  |
| TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP |                    |                      | NGUYEN, H           | NGUYEN, HUY THANH |  |
| TWO EMBA                            | RCADERO CENTER     |                      |                     |                   |  |
| EIGHTH FLO                          | OOR                |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER      |  |
| SAN FRANC                           | ISCO CA 94111-3834 |                      | 2621                |                   |  |

DATE MAILED: 11/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)     | _                |  |  |
|-----------------|------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/087,514      | NISHIJIMA ET AL. | NISHIJIMA ET AL. |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit         | _                |  |  |
| HUY T. NGUYEN   | 2621             |                  |  |  |

|  | HUY T. NGUYEN  | 2621  |                                     |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the  | correspondence add  | ress                                |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 18 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A  | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR  | R ALLOWANCE.  |                                     |  |  |  |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:   | the same day as filing a Notice of<br>ving replies: (1) an amendment, af-<br>tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in<br>e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m | Appeal. To avoid aba<br>fidavit, or other evider<br>compliance with 37 Cl | ce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)       |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN   |  |   |                                     |  |  |  |  |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL   |  |   |                                     |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of th   | s of the date of<br>e appeal. Since |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed and the proposed filed filed and the proposed filed f</li></ol> | nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re  | TE below);  |                                     |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.124.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).   | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co  |   | •                                   |  |  |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-2 and 10-13. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wirided below or appended.  | II be entered and an e  | xplanation of                       |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  |   |                                     |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  | I sufficient reasons why the affiday   | vit or other evidence is  | necessary and                       |  |  |  |  |
| 9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe   | al and/or appellant fai   | ls to provide a                     |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation<br/>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>   |  |   |                                     |  |  |  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but  | does NOT place the application in  | n condition for allowar   | ice because:                        |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ( 13. Other:  | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   |   |                                     |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |                                     |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the newly amended claims by changing "recording medium" to "optical recording medium" in claims raise new issues that require further consideration and search.

The amendments overcome the 102 rejection based on Aoki (5943519) reference.

HUYNGUYEN PRINJIJIZKAMINER